

Both political parties have no real solutions

Is our only choice really between liberals and conservatives? Honestly, are these the only options we have?

First, we have the conservatives, who seemingly want to return us to a simpler time. You know, the good old days when children routinely died of preventable diseases and the elderly lived out the remainder of their lives in squalor at the old folks home.

Moreover, it's interesting how conservatives often use religion as a justification for many of their positions; they seem to have an extraordinarily difficult time understanding why the founding fathers saw fit to put that part about the separation of church and state in the Constitution.

And am I the only one who also finds their selective interpretation of the Scriptures highly suspect?

For instance, could someone please show me where Jesus was on the side of rich folks? I recall him being fairly critical of those who were preoccupied with stockpiling wealth.

Then we have the liberals. Yes, they do seem to care about more about the plight of the less fortunate – although most obviously never bothered to take a basic economics course.

Many liberals apparently live in a kind of fantasy world where all you have to do to fund ever-expanding programs and services is keep the printing presses running in high gear.

If liberals want to provide us with a welfare state, they should at least come up with a realistic way to finance it – preferably one that doesn't involve a commitment on the part of our great, great, great, grandkids.

So here we are – caught between the moral hypocrites and the fiscally inept.

What we need are leaders who are less worried about drawing meaningless lines in the sand and more concerned with actually dealing with the immense problems looming on the horizon.

Aaron W. Hughey
Bowling Green

The Daily News
(Bowling Green, KY)
Tuesday, April 12, 2011
Page 4-A