The Beauty Myth

Nach Walt

two decades of radical action that followed the rebirth of feminism in the early 1970s, Western women gained legal and reproductive rights, pursued higher education, entered the trades and the professions, and overturned ancient and revered beliefs about their

The affluent, educated, liberated women of the First World, who can enjoy freedoms unavailable to any women ever before, do not feel as free as they want to. And they can no longer restrict to the subconscious their sense that this lack of freedom has something to do with—with apparently frivolous issues, things that really should not matter. Many are ashamed to admit that such trivial concerns—to do with physical appearance, bodies, faces, hair, clothes—matter so much. But in spite of shame, guilt, and denial, more and more women are wondering if it isn't that they are entirely neurotic and alone but rather that something important is indeed at stake that has to do with the relationship between female liberation and female beauty.

younger women show little interest in touching new fire to the years of much struggle and little recognition, many older women confusion, division, cynicism, and above all, exhaustion. After feel burned out; after years of taking its light for granted, many

heady momentum of earlier days, there is a dispiriting climate of that women's collective progress has stalled; compared with the

women materially and overtly.

chologically and covertly all the good things that feminism did for sivity, no longer can manage. It is seeking right now to undo psythat myths about motherhood, domesticity, chastity, and pasble: It has grown stronger to take over the work of social coercion

This counterforce is operating to checkmate the inheritance of

through, the more strictly and heavily and cruelly images of

The more legal and material hindrances women have broken

female beauty have come to weigh upon us. Many women sense

meanwhile, cating disorders rose exponentially and cosmetic sur-During the past decade, women breached the power structure; torch

the main media category, ahead of legitimate films and records past five years, consumer spending doubled, pornography became gery became the fastest-growing medical specialty. During the

may actually be worse off than our unliberated grandmothers. Reachieve any other goal. More women have more money and searchers that they would rather lose ten to fifteen pounds than combined, and thirty-three thousand American women told recent research consistently shows that inside the majority of the forc; but in terms of how we feel about ourselves physically, we power and scope and legal recognition than we have ever had be-

a secret "underlife" poisoning our freedom; infused with notions of beauty, it is a dark vein of self-hatred, physical obsessions terror of aging, and dread of lost control. West's controlled, attractive, successful working women, there is It is no accident that so many potentially powerful women feel

version of a social reflex that has been in force since the Industrial against women's advancement: the beauty myth. It is the modern nism that uses images of female beauty as a political weapon this way. We are in the midst of a violent backlash against femi-

weight to strip women of that sense of control. Women insisted nentially, and a mass neurosis was promoted that used food and percent below that of ordinary women, eating disorders rose expodeadly "cosmetic" surgeries developed apace to re-exert old on politicizing health; new technologies of invasive, potentially

our own bodies; the weight of fashion models plummeted to 23

self-worth. Reproductive rights gave Western women control over

covery of female sexuality; "beauty pornography" -- which for the successful womanhood. The sexual revolution promoted the dis-

"beauty" directly and explicitly to sexuality—invaded the mainfirst time in women's history artificially links a commodified ances. Patriarchal religion declined; new religious dogma, using

the women's popular press of advertisers for household products, ritual. Feminists, inspired by Friedan, broke the stranglehold on arose around age and weight to functionally supplant traditional some of the mind-altering techniques of older cults and sects, institutionalized job discrimination based on women's appearmediately case law evolved in Britain and the United States that nism gave us laws against job discrimination based on gender; imfeminism on every level in the lives of Western women. Femi-

who were promoting the feminine mystique; at once, the diet and

youthful model supplanted the happy housewife as the arbiter of

skin care industries became the new cultural censors of women's

intellectual space, and because of their pressure, the gaunt,

stream to undermine women's new and vulnerable sense of sexual

forms of medical control of women.

Every generation since about 1830 has had to fight its version

Lucy Stone in 1855, "to have the right to vote, to own property, of the beauty myth. "It is very little to me," said the suffragist

etcetera, if I may not keep my body, and its uses, in my absolute

first wave of the organized women's movement had subsided, Virright." Eighty years later, after women had won the vote, and the

ginia Woolf wrote that it would still be decades before women

wave feminism would have otherwise made relatively uncontrollathat still has the power to control those women whom second of beauty is the last one remaining of the old feminine ideologies ground, expanding as it wantd to carry on its work of social conmystique of domesticity, the beauty myth took over its lost Revolution. As women released themselves from the feminine

The contemporary backlash is so violent because the ideology

The Beauty Myth

THE BEAUTY MYTH

enough time has passed since then for babies to have grown into exempt. Now we can look out over ruined barricades: A revolubeauty itself . . . she is a doll . , . I'm sick of the masquerade." type": "To her belongs all that is beautiful, even the very word tion has come upon us and changed everything in its path, In spite of the great revolution of the second wave, we are not

second wave of feminism, Germaine Greer described "the Stereolike my mother." Eight years after that, heralding the cataclysmic "Lately, I look in the mirror, and I'm so afraid I'm going to look quoted a young woman trapped in the Feminine Mystique: could tell the truth about their bodies. In 1962, Betty Friedan

and evolutionary: Strong men battle for beautiful women, and situation is necessary and natural because it is biological, sexual embodiment is an imperative for women and not for men, which it and men must want to possess women who embody it. This objectively and universally exists. Women must want to embody women, but there still remains a final right not fully claimed. beautiful women are more reproductively successful. Women's beauty must correlate to their fertility, and since this system is The beauty myth tells a story: The quality called "beauty"

and in the modern age in the West it is the last, best belief sysgold standard. Like any economy, it is determined by politics, women in a vertical hierarchy according to a culturally imposed tem that keeps male dominance intact. In assigning value to physical standard, it is an expression of power relations in which None of this is true. "Beauty" is a currency system like the

based on sexual selection, it is inevitable and changeless.

at a pace far more rapid than that of the evolution of species, and Charles Darwin was himself unconvinced by his own explanation breasts. Nor is "beauty" a function of evolution: Its ideals change Woman; the Maori admire a fat vulva, and the Padung, droopy from the rule of natural selection; for women to compete with that "beauty" resulted from a "sexual selection" that deviated

women through "beauty" is a reversal of the way in which natura

tends that all ideals of female beauty stem from one Platonic Ideal

"Beauty" is not universal or changeless, though the West pre-

appropriated for themselves.

women must unnaturally compete for resources that men have

able of all her troop. And that cycle keeps turning as long as she only do they seek out and enjoy sex with many partners, but nogamy. Female higher primates are the sexual initiators; not sociobiological assertions of innate male polygamy and female momate: Evelyn Reed, Elaine Morgan, and others have dismissed the notion that females must be "beautiful" to be selected to selection affects all other mammals. Anthropology has overturned "every nonpregnant female takes her turn at being the most desir-

relating to female "beauty," when in fact that is a universal, nonpairing of the older rich men with young, "beautiful" women is hierarchical female primate characteristic. Nor has the beauty myth always been this way. Though the

cited by male sociobiologists as analogous to human arrangements

lives." The inflamed pink sexual organs of primates are often

culture, the Goddess has many lovers. . . . The clear pattern is of ligions that dominated the Mediterranean from about 25,000 and Tammuz, Venus and Adonis, Cybele and Attis, Isis and Osan older woman with a beautiful but expendable youth-Ishtar B.C.E. to about 700 B.C.E., the situation was reversed: "In every taken to be somehow inevitable, in the matriarchal Goddess reculture to mount a counteroffensive against women. alted than the need of today's power structure, economy, and what it is doing to women today is a result of nothing more extogether in elaborate makeup sessions, and compete-provocatribe is obsessed with male beauty; Wodaabe men spend hours the Nigerian Wodaabes, the women hold economic power and the Nor is it something only women do and only men watch: Among iris . . . their only function the service of the divine 'womb.'" aesthetics, or God, on what is it based? It claims to be about intilegitimate historical or biological justification for the beauty myth; pressions—in beauty contests judged by women. There is no tively painted and dressed, with swaying hips and seductive ex-If the beauty myth is not based on evolution, sex, gender,

macy and sex and life, a celebration of women. It is actually com-

about men's institutions and institutional power. repression. The beauty myth is not about women at all. It is

posed of emotional distance, politics, finance, and sexual

merely symbols of the female behavior that that period considers The qualities that a given period calls beautiful in women are

The Beauty Myth

women since they stand for experiential and sexual ignorance. Youth and (until recently) virginity have been "beautiful" in of the myth so that women will be divided from one another. not appearance. Competition between women has been made part desirable: The beauty myth is always actually prescribing behavior and

index invented.

when the cult of domesticity was first consolidated and the beauty ways thought about "beauty" date from no earlier than the 1830s,

For the first time new technologies could reproduce—in fash-

pended. Most of our assumptions about the way women have al-

exposed to the air. outside approval, carrying the vital sensitive organ of self-esteem mised upon our "beauty" so that we will remain vulnerable to female life span. Most urgently, women's identity must be preyoung women fear old, and the beauty myth truncates for all the must always be newly broken: Older women fear young ones Aging in women is "unbeautiful" since women grow more powerful with time, and since the links between generations of women

disseminated physical ideal. Before the development of techwhen material constraints on women are dangerously loosened. Before the Industrial Revolution, the average woman could not do who experience the myth as continual comparison to a masshave had the same feelings about "beauty" that modern womer its modern form is a fairly recent invention. The myth flourishes

form for as long as there has been patriarchy, the beauty myth in

Though there has, of course, been a beauty myth in some

obviously, played its part; but "beauty" as we understand it was shrewdness, physical strength, and fertility. Physical attraction. aristocrats or prostitutes lay in their work skills, economic work complemented men's, the value of women who were not an ordinary woman was exposed to few such images outside the nologies of mass production-daguerrotypes, photographs, etc.-Church. Since the family was a productive unit and women's

> sphere to which middle-class women were confined. rier and Ives prints, and porcelain figurines flooded the separate

of prostitutes were taken; advertisements using images of "beau-

how women should look. In the 1840s the first nude photographs ion plates, daguerreotypes, tintypes, and rotogravures—images of

artworks, postcards of society beauties and royal mistresses, Curtiful" women first appeared in mid-century. Copies of classical

Since the Industrial Revolution, middle-class Western women

analyses that trace "cultural conspiracies" are uniquely plausible terial constraints. This situation, unique to this group, means that have been controlled by ideals and stereotypes as much as by ma-

several emerging social fictions that masqueraded as natural coming, and painstaking tasks such as needlepoint and lacemaking, women's work that occupied them with repetitive, time-consumrespectable women were sexually anesthetic; and a definition of act out the roles of hysterics and hypochondriacs; a conviction that a concept of female biology that required middle-class women to version of childhood that required continual maternal supervision; women inside it. Other such fictions arose contemporaneously: a ponents of the feminine sphere, the better to enclose those in relation to them. The rise of the beauty myth was just one of

All such Victorian inventions as these served a double function that is, though they were encouraged as a means to expend female energy and intelligence in harmless ways, women often

counteracted middle-class women's dangerous new leisure, literand a half of unprecedented feminist agitation, they effectively fictions, the fictions' main purpose was served: During a century role of the suburban housewife that devolved from these social and embroidery and child rearing, and, a century later, with the used them to express genuine creativity and passion. But in spite of middle-class women's creativity with fashion

acy, and relative freedom from material constraints.

Though these time- and mind-consuming fictions about

domesticity the evolving system of industrial capitalism de-

literate, idle women developed, on whose submission to enforced ing and of literacy rose, the size of families shrank; a new class of during the day. The middle class expanded, the standards of livcategory of the "breadwinner" who left home for the workplace

"separate sphere" of domesticity, which supported the new labor system demanded what social engineers of the time termed the family was destroyed, and urbanization and the emerging factory

alter the upheavals of industrialization, as the work unit of the

ketplace. The beauty myth in its modern form gained ground not, for ordinary women, a serious issue in the marriage mar-

women's natural role adapted themselves to resurface in the post-

THE BEAUTY MYTH

war feminine Mystique, when the second wave of the women's movement took apart what women's magazines had portrayed as the "romance," "science," and "adventure" of homemaking and suburban family life, they temporarily failed. The cloying domestic fiction of "togetherness" lost its meaning and middle-class women walked out of their front doors in masses.

So the fictions simply transformed themselves once more:

quently strengthened it a hundredfold. This reimposed onto libonce spread out over the whole network of these fictions had to other necessary fictions of femininity, all the work of social control exhaustible but ephemeral beauty work took over from erated women's faces and bodies all the limitations, taboos, and Since the women's movement had successfully taken apart most repressive as any that had gone before. omy, religion, sexuality, education, and culture, each element as reconstructed an alternative female world with its own laws, econnized female consciousness. By using ideas about "beauty," it opened up to include women more fairly, a private reality coloreligion, sexual mores, education, and culture were forcibly inexhaustible but ephemeral housework. As the economy, law, productive enslavement that no longer carried sufficient force. Inpunishments of the repressive laws, religious injunctions and rebe reassigned to the only strand left intact, which action conse-

myth, as it has resurfaced in the last generation, has had to draw willed into being by both men and women stunned and disorimillions of images of the current ideal; although this barrage is ever before. The modern arsenal of the myth is a dissemination of on more technological sophistication and reactionary fervor than psychologically now that we are stronger materially, the beauty transformed: a bulwark of reassurance against the flood of change ented by the rapidity with which gender relations have been tic aggregation of imagery is a collective reactionary hallucination liberation—latent fears that we might be going too far. This frandom, and it exploits female guilt and apprehension about our own part of male-dominated institutions threatened by women's freethat is sexual about it. It is summoned out of political fear on the generally seen as a collective sexual fantasy, there is in fact little The mass depiction of the modern woman as a "beauty" is a con-Since middle-class Western women can best be weakened

tradiction: Where modern women are growing, moving, and expressing their individuality, as the myth has it, "beauty" is by definition inert, timeless, and generic. That this hallucination is necessary and deliberate is evident in the way "beauty" so directly contradicts women's real situation.

And the unconscious hallucination grows ever more influential and pervasive because of what is now conscious market manipulation: powerful industries—the \$33-billion-a-year diet industry, the \$20-billion cosmetics industry, the \$300-million cosmetic surgery industry, and the \$7-billion pornography industry—have arisen from the capital made out of unconscious anxieties, and are in turn able, through their influence on mass culture, to use, stim-

ulate, and reinforce the hallucination in a rising economic spiral. This is not a conspiracy theory; it doesn't have to be. Societies tell themselves necessary fictions in the same way that individuals and families do. Henrik Ibsen called them "vital lies," and psychologist Daniel Goleman describes them working the same way on the social level that they do within families: "The collusion is maintained by directing attention away from the fearsome fact, or by repackaging its meaning in an acceptable format." The costs of these social blind spots, he writes, are destructive communal illusions. Possibilities for women have become so open-ended that they threaten to destabilize the institutions on which a male-dominated culture has depended, and a collective panic reaction on the part of both sexes has forced a demand for counterimages.

The resulting hallucination materializes, for women, as something all too real. No longer just an idea, it becomes three-dimensional, incorporating within itself how women live and how they do not live: It becomes the Iron Maiden. The original Iron Maiden was a medieval German instrument of torture, a body-shaped casket painted with the limbs and features of a lovely, smiling young woman. The unlucky victim was slowly enclosed inside her; the lid fell shut to immobilize the victim, who died either of starvation or, less cruelly, of the metal spikes embedded in her interior. The modern hallucination in which women are trapped or trap themselves is similarly rigid, cruel, and cuphemistically painted. Contemporary culture directs attention to imagery of the Iron Maiden, while censoring real women's faces and

Why does the social order feel the need to defend itself by

inists themselves than the image fostered by the interests who so

bitterly opposed the vote for women in state after state." Thirty

John Kenneth Galbraith offers an economic explanation for "the the representation of women within the beauty myth. Economist atmosphere. The contemporary economy depends right now on needed to counteract the way feminism had begun to make us ideology that makes women feel "worth less" was urgently dependent now on the continued underpayment of women. An tify" the institution of slavery. Western economies are absolutely depends on slavery needs to promote images of slaves that "juslie, economic necessity practically guarantees it. An economy that sonal anxieties can be a powerful force in the creation of a vital lessly reproduced "beautiful" images? Though unconscious perand reducing the meaning of women to these formulaic and endevading the fact of real women, our faces and voices and bodies, persistence of the view of homemaking as a 'higher calling'": the feel worth more. This does not require a conspiracy; merely an

sons is transformed into a social virtue." As soon as a woman's industrial society. . . . Behavior that is essential for economic reanewly liberated women. ness in the workplace where the old ones had lost their hold over consumer imperative and a new justification for economic unfairtainment of virtuous beauty. It did so to substitute both a new of virtuous domesticity, the beauty myth redefined it as the atprimary social value could no longer be defined as the attainment

magazines, and by fiction to disguise the fact that woman in her tique, he feels, "has been forced on us by popular sociology, by

concept of women as naturally trapped within the Feminine Mys-

role of consumer has been essential to the development of our

Maiden: The caricature of the Ugly Feminist was resurrected to Another hallucination arose to accompany that of the Iron

cigar, swearing like a trooper." As Betty Friedan put it presciently

feminists: "a big masculine woman, wearing boots, smoking a

"The unpleasant image of feminists today resembles less the femin 1960, even before the savage revamping of that old caricature derided by detractors with "the usual report" about Victorian type of womanly grace . . . fresh and fair as the morning," was century. Lucy Stone herself, whom supporters saw as "a protoiginal; it was coined to ridicule the feminists of the nineteenth dog the steps of the women's movement. The caricature is unor-

> cess of the women's movement's second wave, the beauty myth new limits placed on aspiring women everywhere. After the sucgoing after their private sense of self, became the paradigm for caricature, which sought to punish women for their public acts by years on, her conclusion is more true than ever: That resurrected was perfected to checkmate power at every level in individual

undermining-slowly, imperceptibly, without our being aware of spread to woman after woman at epidemic rates. The myth is through long, hard, honorable struggle. the real forces of erosion—the ground women have gained The beauty myth of the present is more insidious than any

women's lives. The modern neuroses of life in the female body

slam. The contemporary ravages of the beauty backlash are dedoor of the doll's house; a generation ago, women turned their mystique of femininity yet: A century ago, Nora slammed the been made out of femaleness, it is not ballots or lobbyists or are to free ourselves from the dead weight that has once again stroying women physically and depleting us psychologically. If we home; but where women are trapped today, there is no door to backs on the consumer heaven of the isolated multiapplianced

placards that women will need first; it is a new way to see.