Thin solid films: PDE models of surface instabilities and surface dynamics

Mikhail Khenner

WKU SIAM Student Chapter Seminar

April 3, 2017

Modeling formation of pits in the Si thin film on the quartz or sapphire substrate Semiconductor Si thin films form the basis of most micro and nanoelectronic devices, such as LEDs, themal actuators, transistors and optical waveguides; they are also used in solar cells.

All such devices operate at high T: about $1000^\circ-1100^\circ\text{C},$ which is below the melting T of silicon: $1414^\circ\text{C}.$

High T causes surface diffusion of atoms, which results in changes to the film height; that is, h = h(x, t).

Atomic Force Microscope images of the Si film surface (top-down view) one hour after raising the temperature to 550 C.

(a) $h_0 = 5$ nm; (b) $h_0 = 20$ nm. (from [5]). Pits form in the film !





View of the film surface from the side



3) 3

Evolution Partial Differential Equation for h(x, t)

The nonlinear, 6th-order evolution PDE for the height of a thin film:

$$\begin{aligned} h_t &= B \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[(\cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mathcal{M}_w + \mathcal{M}_\gamma \right) \right] \\ &\equiv F \left(h(x, t), h_x, h_{xx}, ..., h_{xxxxxx} \right), & \text{where} \end{aligned}$$

B = const. is Mullins' number; $\cos \theta = (1 + h_x^2)^{-1/2}$, $\mathcal{M}_{w} = \frac{\partial \gamma(h,\theta)}{\partial h} \cos \theta = \left(\gamma^{(f)}(\theta) - G\right) e^{-h} \cos \theta,$ $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma} = (\gamma(h,\theta) + \gamma_{\theta\theta}(h,\theta))\kappa, \quad \kappa = -h_{xx}/(1+h_{z}^{2})^{3/2},$ $\gamma(h,\theta) = \gamma^{(f)}(\theta) + \left(G - \gamma^{(f)}(\theta)\right) e^{-h},$ $\gamma^{(f)}(\theta) = 1 + A\cos 4\theta + \frac{\Delta}{2}\kappa^2, \quad \cos 4\theta = f(\cos \theta) = 8\cos^4 \theta - 8\cos^2 \theta + 1.$ \mathcal{M}_{w} and \mathcal{M}_{γ} are the *chemical potentials*

Computer simulations of pit formation and evolution

Use the 6th-order nonlinear evolution PDE $h_t = F(h, h_x, h_{xx}, ...)$ as derived, or the parametric re-formulation to account for non-graph pit shapes

The initial condition:

$$h(x,0) = 1 - d \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x-5}{w}\right)^2\right], \quad 0 \le x \le 10$$

$$d = 0.5 \text{ (shallow pit) AND one of the following:}$$

$$w = 0.15 \text{ (narrow pit), or}$$

$$w = 1 \text{ (intermediate pit), or}$$

$$w = 2 \text{ (wide pit)}$$

Periodic b.c.'s at $x = 0$ and $x = 10$
$$G = 0.5, \quad A = 1/12, \quad B = 3.57 \times 10^{-3}$$

The numerical method: Method of Lines, using a stiff ODE solver

The pit shapes



Computer simulations of pit formation and evolution, continued

Rotate sample, expose different crystallographic orientation: $\gamma^{(f)}(\theta) = 1 + A\cos 4(\theta + \beta) + \frac{\Delta}{2}\kappa^2$



- H. Gao and W.D. Nix, "SURFACE ROUGHENING OF HETEROEPITAXIAL THIN FILMS", Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 1999, 29:173-209
- A.A. Golovin *et al.*, "Faceting instability in the presence of wetting interactions: A mechanism for the formation of quantum dots", PHYSICAL REVIEW B 70, 235342 (2004);
 B.J. Spencer *et al.*, "Morphological instability in epitaxially strained dislocation-free solid films: Linear stability theory", J. Appl. Phys. 73, 4955 (1993)
- H.P Bonzel, "3D equilibrium crystal shapes in the new light of STM and AFM", Physics Reports 385 (2003) 1-67
- M. Rost, "Continuum models for surface growth", preprint
- J.L. Gray *et al.*, "Kinetic size selection mechanisms in heteroepitaxial quantum dot molecules", Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 135504 (2004)

- M. Khenner, "Dewetting of an ultrathin solid film on a lattice-matched or amorphous substrate", Physical Review B 77, (2008) 165414.
- M. Khenner, "Morphologies and kinetics of a dewetting ultrathin solid film", Physical Review B 77, (2008) 245445.
- M. Khenner, "Comparative study of a solid film dewetting in an attractive substrate potentials with the exponential and the algebraic decay", Mathematical Modeling of Natural Phenomena 3(5), (2008) 16-29.