Hog Wallows or Prairie Mounds

In *Nature* (vol. xv., p. 274), Mr. Wallace quotes a letter from his brother in regard to the so-called Hog-wallows of California, in which their origin is ascribed to *débris* left at the broad foot of a retiring glacier modified by the erosion of innumerable issuing rills, and asks if this structure is known to occur elsewhere. As I have observed the same formation in many parts of the Pacific slope and have tried to explain it, I hope I may be allowed to say a few words on the subject.

The peculiar configuration of surface so well described by Mr. Wallace, is very widely diffused in America, and has been described under different names. In California the mounds are called *Hog-wallows*, but elsewhere they are known as *Prairie mounds*. This latter is the better name since they are found only in grassy, treeless, or nearly treeless regions. They occur over much of the Prairie region or "plains"* east of the Rocky Mountains; also over portions of the *basin* region, e.g., in Arizona; also over much of the bare grassy portions of California, e.g., along the lower foothills of the Sierra and adjacent portions or the San Joaquin plains; also over enormous areas in Middle Oregon, on the eastern slope of the Cascade mountains, an undulating grassy region; also on the level grassy Prairies about the southern end of Pugit Sound, Washington territory.

They have been ascribed to the most diverse causes. In Texas, where they are very small, Prof. Hilgard thinks they are *ant-hills*. In Arizona, where they are also imperfectly developed, Mr. Gilbert thinks they are the ruined habitations of departed *Prairie dogs*. In some portions of California, also, where they are small, they have been popularly ascribed to *burrowing squirrels*. In the Prairies, about Pugit Sound, where they are splendidly developed, their great size and extreme regularity has suggested that they are *burial mounds*, and that the Prairies are veritable cities of the dead. It is possible that the cause may be different in different places, but I am sure that no one who has examined them in California, and especially in Oregon and Washington, can for a moment entertain any of these theories for the Pacific slope.

In a paper "On the Structure and Age of the Cascade Mountains," published in the *American Journal for March and April, 1874*, p. 167 and p. 259, among some miscellaneous points suggested by the main subject in hand, I discuss this one of Prairie mound. I there attribute them to *surface erosion under peculiar conditions*, these conditions being a bare country and a drift-soil finer and more movable above and coarser and less movable below. Erosion removes the finer top-soil, leaving it only in spots. The process once commenced, weeds and shrubs take possession of the mounds as the best soil, or sometimes as the driest spots, and hold them, preventing or retarding erosion by their roots. In some cases, perhaps in most cases, a *departing vegetation*, i.e., a vegetation gradually destroyed by increasing dryness, seems to be an important condition. For my full reasons for holding this view I must refer the reader to my paper, but I may say in passing that in the bare hilly regions of Middle Oregon, on the
east side of the Cascade Mountains, every stage of gradation may be traced from circular mounds, through elliptic, long elliptic, to ordinary erosion furrows and ridges.

Mr. Wallace asks in conclusion whether so extensive and uniform a deposit could be due to glaciers alone, or is it necessary to suppose submergence?

In answer I would say that nothing is to me more puzzling than the drift deposits on the Pacific slope, and I suppose the same is true everywhere. The prairies about Pugit Sound have evidently been submerged during the Champlain epoch, and I suppose the mound structure to have been formed after emergence, and the exceptional perfection of the mounds in that region may be due to this fact. But there is not the slightest evidence of submergence in the mound region of Oregon. All the high, bare, grassy, hilly, eastern slopes of the Cascade Mountains are covered evenly with a pebble and boulder drift, graduating upwards into a finer top soil. From this surface-soil are carved the mounds, which cover hill and dale so thickly that, viewed from an eminence the whole face of the country seems broken out with measles. This universal drift-covering, twenty to thirty feet thick over thousands of square miles, I know not what to call it, unless it be the moraine profonde of an ice-sheet.
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