the more remote group of the Canary Islands confirmed to my mind Mr. Wollaston's position, while bringing into relief facts utterly incompatible with Mr. Wallace's, I have ventured to publish a few remarks on the question.

Mr. Murray's views of the distribution of beetles seem to me resolvable into saying that there are two faunas, a tropical (Brazilian and Africo-Indian) and an extra-tropical one. My own slight researches in exotic coleoptera (confined hitherto to the Coccinellidæ) strongly confirm this; and a curious instance of the connection between the northern and southern extra-tropical faunas occurred to me the other day. Eriopis connexa, a rather pretty little ladybird, occurs from Hudson's Bay and Vancouver's Island all the way to the Straits of Magellan; following, of course, the line of the Andes. But my object was principally to question some of Mr. Wallace's conclusions with regard to the Madeiran fauna. First of all, I was struck by the absence of any hypothesis for the origin of the very curious endemic forms which form the most important part of the fauna, and which most closely unite it to that of the Canaries and Azores. These Mr. Wollaston, myself, and apparently Mr. Murray regard as affording proof that these islands, or rather groups of islands, were once parts of a considerable continent, and I certainly am at a loss to see how else they are to be explained; for though Mr. Wallace regards the Madeira islets as possibly formerly connected, he would, I suppose, be unwilling to extend this to the other groups. Mr. Wallace appears to regard Mr. Murray's hypothesis to be that the Atlantic continent, of which Madeira is a remnant, derived its fauna from Europe; but it seems rather to be that in the Miocene period (or earlier) there was a similar continent, connected indeed with Europe, not deriving its fauna from Europe any more than Europe from it. Perhaps the best way of answering Mr. Wallace's view will be to take the case of the Canary Islands, whose fauna, resembling the Madeira as it does so closely, must have had a similar origin. Here the argument from apterous genera fails to a very great extent. Thus Carabus is represented by three species, while in S. Spain there is one, and in N. Africa only six or seven. Thorictus has three representatives, and here it may be noticed that ants'-nest beetles are decidedly not numerous in the islands, so that the "unusual means of distribution" fail on the whole to get them across the water. Rhizotrogus is represented by the closely allied also N. African genus Pachydema. Of the very numerous European Rhizotrogi only two Sicilian ones are apterous, so that its absence in Madeira tells either way. Oliorhynchus is no doubt absent, but its place is more than supplied by Atlantis (20 sp.) and Laparocerus (30 sp.). Pimelia again is represented in the Canaries by twelve species, and the apterous genera of Heteromera by more than fifty species, which almost demonstrates the necessity of looking for Tenebrionidæ in localities where they are likely to occur.

Tarphius it certainly is difficult to conceive carried across by winds or waves, seeing that its habits are so retired that it has escaped notice till very recently in Europe. Now, however, it is beginning to turn up in suitable mountain localities of Andalusia, Portugal, the Apennines, Sicily, and Algeria; four species are described, and I have seen two others, all agreeing inter se and differing in structure from any Atlantic species. Moreover, it must have been carried apparently to the Azores as well. Then of the peculiar apterous genera quoted, Thalassophilus, Torneuma, Scoliocerus, Xenomma, and Mecognathus occur now also in Europe, requiring only a collecting power equal to that of Mr. Wollaston for their discovery. There remain as puzzles upon the hurricane theory twenty-two blind species in the Madeira and the Canaries, and the whole series of Euphorbiainfesting species, fifty in number, all winged, and forming for the most part special genera. Finally, with regard to the launa of the Azores, the condition of the islands must be taken into account; if the species found round Santa Cruz, Oratava, and Funchal were enumerated, about this proportion of European species would be found. The best island, Pico, has not been worked, and in the others almost all the original vegetation has disappeared. The fact that in the scraps (as they literally were) of Euphorbias, Tarphius and Acalles occurred, shows that if any of the pristineflora could be found a fair number of species might be expected. Elastrus dolosus may certainly have come from Madagascar by the very ingenious route sketched out by Mr. Wallace; but the occurrence of Urania in Madagascar, Brazil, and the West Indies suggests a possibly shorter route, even though no Elastrus be known as yet to occur in America.

In conclusion I may state that I am going to spend a year or

## The Geographical Distribution of Insects

IN NATURE (No. 74, p. 435) was a very interesting article on geographical distribution by Mr. Wallace, combating some recently-urged views of Mr. Murray's. Mr. Wallace took, as an example, the Madeira Islands, and sustained his position upon the numerical statistics furnished by Mr. Wollaston in his books. That these conclusions are very different from those arrived at by Mr. Wollaston is evident and as a six months' residence in

perhaps two in the West Indian Islands, and hope there still further to investigate the theories of geographical distribution, especially endeavouring to see if they can in any way be regarded as having been connected with this submerged continent of Atlantis.

G. R. CROTCH