LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Animal Locomotion

IN NATURE, vol. ix. p. 301, there is a letter from Mr. Wallace on a very important point connected with the Theory of Flight. The question he discusses is "whether a bird's wing during onward flight moves downwards and backwards or downwards and forwards?" and Mr. Wallace supports Mr. Pettigrew in affirming that the movement is downwards and forwards.

As this is a subject to which I have paid long and close attention, I desire to express my conviction that neither of the two motions thus described by Mr. Wallace is the true motion of a bird's wing in forward flight.

The true motion is one strictly vertical to the axis of the bird's body; and as that axis is ordinarily horizontal in flight, the wing-stroke is a vertical stroke, that is simply downwards, and neither "downwards and backwards" nor "downwards and forwards."

This is not a question of theory, but a question of fact, to be determined by observation. The wing-stroke of most birds is indeed so rapid that the eye cannot distinctly follow the operation. But there are birds whose wing is so large and whose flight is so slow, that the wing-stroke can be followed with the greatest distinctness. Such is the common heron—common, alas, no longer in most parts of England, but numerous on the west coast of Scotland. When at home I am in the daily habit of watching their flight; and the truly vertical character of the wing-stroke is a fact which I have verified by the eye under every possible condition which could supply the evidence.

There are indeed two slight modifications of the perfect perpendicularity of the stroke which result (1) from the attachment of the wing to the body of the bird, and (2) from the structure of the wing-feathers. The first of these modifications consists in this—that as the wing moves upon a hinge, its extremity must move downwards, not absolutely vertically, but describing an arc. The segment of a circle, however, through which the wing thus moves, is generally a very short one: and in such cases, I am persuaded that the extremity of the wing in forward flight makes a circle encompassing the axis of the bird's body as with a hoop. Pigeons, as an amusement and in play, often complete this circle—making their primary quills clash against each other over their backs, and downwards again under their breasts. But in ordinary forward flight, when birds are intent only on progression, the wings move through a very small arc, and so of the complete circle referred to.

The second modification of the perpendicularity of the stroke arises from the "set" of the wing-feathers—which curve backwards and downwards from the wing-bones. In some birds, and notably in the heron, and all the storks, the concavity thus formed is very deep, and of course a surface which is thus not a plane surface, but a concave one, however truly it may be struck downwards, cannot have a purely vertical reaction on the air.

When we observe, however, that in the case of many birds, and some of these the most powerful fliers in the world, this concavity of the wing-feathers is very slight indeed, and that the whole vane is very narrow, flat, and "faut," it is obvious that a purely vertical stroke, or one as near it as possible, is the really essential stroke for flight.

The great secret of flight is the exquisite and complicated adaptation of structure in the feathers of a bird's wing which results from this one simple action the resultant of a force which is both sustaining and propelling. It is an adaptation which, when thoroughly grasped and understood, at once dispenses with as needless, and condemns as mechanically erroneous, all the explanations which assume either a "downward and forward" or a "downward and backward" movement.

I venture to think that Mr. Wallace is certainly in error when he ascribes to Mr. Pettigrew the merit of having been the first to show that "horizontal forward motion is a general resultant of the upward and downward action of the wings under the influence of gravitation."

In February 1865 I published in Good Words a paper on the mechanism of flight, in which this effect of the wing-stroke was fully explained, and elaborately illustrated. This paper subsequently appeared as chap. iii. in the "Reign of Law" published in the end of 1866. Mr. Pettigrew's lecture before the Royal Institution (in which I believe his views were first promulgated) was delivered on March 22, 1867. I had the pleasure of hearing that lecture, and the amusement of recognising parts of it (including even a poetical quotation) as taken directly from my chapter on flight. The pleasure, however, was somewhat abated by the strange mixture of much that was quite correct, with a great deal more which I believed then, and believe now, to be wholly erroneous.
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